#### **Initial Post**

The growth and advancement of cybercrime reveal “old wine in new bottles” in the criminal world because cyber criminals have retained the motivations of conventional crime while using new techniques. According to Grabotsky (2016), humanity is experiencing a growing reliance on digital technologies, and the dependence on these tools to run industries exposes them to disruption for profit or fun, demonstrating similarity to traditional criminals who steal items for sale or to satisfy their desires. However, cyberspace has created new opportunities that they can exploit to achieve their goals. Scholarly evidence shows an increase in organized crime due to opportunities arising from information availability and technology advancement (‘Criminals groups engaging in cyber organized crime’ 2019). For example, the ease of communication allows the formation of interpersonal relations among criminals in different regions of the world, facilitating cybercrime.

Besides, the state is experiencing new difficulties from cybercrime related to its investigation. Back-tracing (identifying the source of cyberattacks) is among the challenges investigative agencies face (‘Obstacles to cybercrime investigations’ 2019). The problem presents a challenge to states, especially the capacity to arrest those responsible for cyber-attacks. Furthermore, the capacity of states to capture cybercriminals is a problem because of the transnational nature of cybercrime. Lawbreakers can target victims in different countries using international scams and theft of financial details (Holland 2020). States find it difficult to hold these people accountable due to issues such as back-tracing and boundaries among legal jurisdictions. The CD Universe Credit Card Breach that occurred in 2000 is an example of a cyber-attack that ended without arrests (Conteh 2021). Therefore, virtual criminality is an example of “old wine in new bottles” as cybercriminals maintain the motivations of traditional law breakers but employ advanced techniques to achieve their aims.

References

 ‘Criminal groups engaging in cyber organized crime.’ (2019). United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. Available at https://www.unodc.org/e4j/zh/cybercrime/module-13/key-issues/criminal-groups-engaging-in-cyber-organized-crime.html (Accessed: 28 September 2022)

‘Obstacles to cybercrime investigations.’ (2019). United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. Available at https://www.unodc.org/e4j/en/cybercrime/module-5/key-issues/obstacles-to-cybercrime-investigations.html (Accessed: 28 September 2022)

Conteh, N. Y. (2021). *Ethical hacking and countermeasures for cybercrime prevention*. Hershey: IGI Global.

Grabosky, P. (2016). *Keynotes criminology criminal justice: Cybercrime*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Holland, J. B. (2020). *Transnational cybercrime: The dark web*. Hershey: IGI Global.

Peer Response

**Reply to Post 1**

I agree that the reasons for committing crimes have also not changed despite the rise in technology. However, very different organized crime groups co-occur with diverse organizational models in the digital society as humans and machines interact in new and close ways in systems of human and non-human participants (Di Nicola, 2022). These criminal groups engage in very different criminalities ranging from traditional to most technological, moving from online to offline (Di Nicola, 2022). Therefore, organized crime should be intellectualized as a binary rather than an ordinal category and as groups displaying diverse levels of strength within a continuum instead of groups with or without components defined by an arbitrary threshold (Calderoni et al., 2022, pp. 4). Although the reasons for committing crimes have not changed, the crimes are still the same and increasing.

Admittedly, the conviction of criminals has become challenging. The legal response is failing because cybercrime is growing with an evolved threat landscape, a complex attribution in cyber contexts, and an increased number of attacks (Arnell and Faturoti, 2022, pp.1. The range of offenders and threat actors is also constantly growing (Arnell and Faturoti, 2022, pp.1). Besides, cybercrime is less constrained by monetary and physical resources than crimes in the physical world because they cause substantial harm remotely (Hui, Kim, and Wang, 2017, pp. 498). The efforts of transnational and extraterritorial jurisdiction as routine facets of responding to cybercrime are also misplaced. Fighting cybercrimes is overall characterized by inefficiencies, flaws, and injustice.
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**Reply to Post 2**

I concur that cyber criminals have gone beyond adventure or revenge in encompassing the most heinous crimes. Criminals are using more callous approaches to attain their goals, and the expertise of attacks is likely to advance as they experiment with new cyber-attack tactics (Norwich University Online, 2017). What used to be called petty cyber offenses have progressed into severe crimes because cyber-attacks are convenient, cheap, and less risky than physical crimes. Moreover, cybercriminals need only a few expenses beyond a computer and are unconstrained by distance (Jang-Jaccard and Nepal, 2014, pp. 973). The advancement of the global cybercriminal network is primarily credited to the increased opportunity for financial incentives, creating different types of cyber offenders that pose a substantial threat to corporations and governments.

Indeed, the fight against cybercrimes is constrained by jurisdictional challenges. Computer offenses are often transnational, giving rise to complex jurisdictional problems involving people, acts, and things present or carried out in various countries. Even when the offender and the victim are in the same jurisdiction, the needed evidence may reside in a server under a different jurisdiction (Oraegnunam, 2015, pp. 58). There is also a challenge in implementing the prosecutorial proficiency and institutional structures necessary to deal with the threats posed by cyberterrorism (Stockton and Golabek-Goldman, 2013, pp. 7). Consequently, extending the applicability of domestic laws to encompass foreign attackers can provide the much-needed and real-time basis to arraign cyberterrorists.
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**My reply to Ags:**

The term "cybercrime" refers to any illegal activity that intentionally targets a computer or network in order to disrupt it or gain unauthorized access to it. For instance, it is possible to be guilty of a felony if you get unauthorized access to a computer by "hacking into" it or if you transmit viruses. Fraud, identity theft, and the unauthorized use of another person's intellectual property are examples of the types of illegal activities that fall under the umbrella of cybercrime. When it comes to perpetrating these types of crimes, the criminal's power and reach are dramatically amplified by the use of computers. Figure 1 discusses and contrasts cybercrime and classic criminal strategies (Tony Ridley,2021).

Fraud, theft, extortion, and embezzlement are examples of conventional types of crime, on the other hand. Because it is recognized that these offenses would cause injury to either persons or property, the law stipulates that they be criminal. (Bennner,2009). In any case, it is widely spread in terms of human crimes or electronic crimes, and it has become modern.
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